

Name:

Investigative Journal: Income and wealth. *(Use one side per source)*

Date:

Sub-topic: Working poverty / Regional inequality / Wage discrimination / Taxation / Benefits / Minimum wage <i>(Delete as appropriate)</i>	
Source: <i>Title, Author, Date published</i>	
<i>Organisation, (shortened) URL</i>	
Finding information relevant to my inquiry: Either copy the information word for word (quote it), making sure you use quotation marks (“...”), or write it in your own words (paraphrase). Use a notation style that suits you – bullet points or mind maps are fine.	Discussing the relevance of this information to my inquiry: Depending on the source, you may have some arguments in both boxes below or just one
	This source suggests the government should prioritize intervention in this area because...
	This source suggests the government shouldn't prioritize intervention in this area because...
Comment on source: Consider the quality of this source. Think particularly about the organisation's reputation for factual accuracy and political bias.	

Name:

Investigative Journal: Income and wealth. *(Use one side per source)*

Date:

Sub-topic: Working poverty / Regional inequality / Wage discrimination / Taxation / Benefits / Minimum wage <i>(Delete as appropriate)</i>	
Source: <i>Title, Author, Date published</i>	
<i>Organisation, (shortened) URL</i>	
Finding information relevant to my inquiry: Either copy the information word for word (quote it), making sure you use quotation marks (“...”), or write it in your own words (paraphrase). Use a notation style that suits you – bullet points or mind maps are fine.	Discussing the relevance of this information to my inquiry: Depending on the source, you may have some arguments in both boxes below or just one
	This source suggests the government should prioritize intervention in this area because...
	This source suggests the government shouldn't prioritize intervention in this area because...
Comment on source: Consider the quality of this source. Think particularly about the organisation's reputation for factual accuracy and political bias.	